arXiv:1411.2116v1 [math.AP] 8 Nov 2014

ALL INVARIANT REGIONS AND GLOBAL SOLUTIONS FOR m-COMPONENT REACTION-DIFFUSION SYSTEMS WITH A TRIDIAGONAL SYMMETRIC TOEPLITZ MATRIX OF DIFFUSION COEFFICIENTS

SALEM ABDELMALEK

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is the construction of invariant regions in which we establish the global existence of solutions for m-component reaction-diffusion systems with a tridiagonal symmetric toeplitz matrix of diffusion coefficients and with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions. The proposed technique is based on invariant regions and Lyapunov functional methods. The nonlinear reaction term has been supposed to be of polynomial growth.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the global existence for solutions for nonlinear parabolic systems of partial differential equations have received considerable attention. On of the most promising works that can be found in the litarature is that of Jeff Morgan [20], where all the components satisfy the same boundary conditions (Neumann or Dirichlet), and the reaction terms are polynomially bounded and satisfy m inequalities. Hollis later completed the work of Morgan and established global existence in the presence of mixed boundary conditions subject to certain structure requirements of the system. In 2007, Abdelmalek and Kouachi [2] show that solutions of the m-component reaction–diffusion systems with a diagonal diffusion matrix exist globally (for $m \geq 2$).

The results obtained in this work represent the proof of the global existence of solutions with Neumann, Dirichlet, nonhomogeneous Robin and a mixture of Dirichlet with nonhomogeneous Robin conditions. The reaction terms are again assumed to be of polynomial growth and satisfy a single inequality. The diffusion matrix is a simple symmetric tridiagonal one.

All along the paper, we will use the following notations and assumptions: we denote by $m \geq 2$ the number of equations of the system (i.e. an m-component system):

$$\begin{array}{l} \dfrac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} - a\Delta u_1 - b\Delta u_2 = f_1(U), \\ \dfrac{\partial u_\ell}{\partial t} - b\Delta u_{\ell-1} - a\Delta u_\ell - b\Delta u_{\ell+1} = f_\ell(U);\ \ell = 2, ..., m-1, \qquad \text{in } \Omega \times \{t > 0\}, \\ \dfrac{\partial u_m}{\partial t} - b\Delta u_{m-1} - a\Delta u_m = f_m(U), \end{array} \tag{1.1}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K45, 35K57.
Key words and phrases. Reaction-Diffusion Systems, Invariant Regions, Global Existence.

with the boundary conditions:

$$\alpha u_\ell + (1-\alpha)\,\partial_\eta u_\ell = \beta_\ell,\ \ell = 1,...,m, \quad \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times \{t>0\}, \tag{1.2}$$

and the initial data:

$$u_\ell(0,x) = u_\ell^0(x),\ \ell = 1,...,m, \quad \text{ on } \Omega, \tag{1.3}$$

where:

(i) for nonhomogeneous Robin boundary conditions, we use $0<\alpha<1$, $\beta_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$, $\ell = 1,...,m$, or
(ii) for homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions, we use $\alpha = \beta_\ell = 0$, $\ell = 1,...,m$, or
(iii) for homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions, we use $1-\alpha = \beta_\ell = 0$, $\ell = 1,...,m$.

Here Ω is an open bounded domain of class $\mathbb{C}^1$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\dfrac{\partial}{\partial\eta}$ denotes the outward normal derivative on $\partial\Omega$, and $U = (u_\ell)_{\ell=1}^m$. The constants a and b are supposed to be positive non null and satisfying the condition:

$$2b\cos\frac{\pi}{m+1} < a. \tag{1.4}$$

The initial data are assumed to be in the regions:

$$\Sigma_{L,Z} = \left\{ \left(u_1^0,...,u_m^0\right) \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{k=1}^m u_k^0 \sin\frac{(m+1-\ell)k\pi}{m+1} \geq 0, \ell \in L \\ \sum_{k=1}^m u_k^0 \sin\frac{zk\pi}{m+1} \leq 0, z \in Z \end{array} \right. \right\}, \tag{1.5}$$

with

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k \sin\frac{(m+1-\ell)k\pi}{m+1} \geq 0, \ell \in L \\ \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k \sin\frac{(m+1-\ell)k\pi}{m+1} \leq 0, z \in Z \end{array} \right. ,$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} L \cap Z = \varnothing \\ L \cup Z = \{1,2,...,m\} \end{array} \right. .$$

Hence, we can see that there are 2^m regions. The subsequent work is similar for all of these regions as will be shown at the end of the paper. Let us now examine the first region and then comment on the remaining cases. The chosen region is the case where $L = \{1,2,...,m\}$ and $Z = \varnothing$: we have

$$\Sigma_{L,\varnothing} = \left\{ \left(u_1^0,...,u_m^0\right) \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } \sum_{k=1}^m u_k^0 \sin\frac{(m+1-\ell)\,k\pi}{m+1} \geq 0, \ell \in L, \right\} \tag{1.6}$$

with

$$\sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k \sin\frac{(m+1-\ell)\,k\pi}{m+1} \geq 0, \ell \in L.$$

The aim is now to study the global existence of slutions for the reaction-diffusion system in (1.1) in this region. In order to achieve this aim, we need to diagonalize the diffusion matrix, see formula (4.1). First, let us define the reaction diffusion functions as:

$$F_\ell\left(w_1,w_2,...,w_m\right) = \sum_{k=1}^m f_k\left(U\right) \sin\frac{(m+1-\ell)\,k\pi}{m+1}, \tag{1.7}$$

where the variable w_ℓ is given by

$$w_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^{m} u_k \sin \frac{(m+1-\ell)\, k\pi}{m+1}. \tag{1.8}$$

The defined function must satisfy the following three conditions:

(A1) The functions F_ℓ are continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^m_+$ for all $\ell = 1, ..., m$, satisfying $F_\ell(w_1, ..., w_{\ell-1}, 0, w_{\ell+1}, ..., w_m) \geq 0$, for all $u_\ell \geq 0$; $\ell = 1, ..., m$.

(A2) The functions F_ℓ are of polynomial growth (see Hollis and Morgan [9]), which means that for all $\ell = 1, ..., m$ with integer $N \geq 1$:

$$|F_\ell(W)| \leq C_1 \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} w_\ell\right)^N \text{ on } (0, +\infty)^m. \tag{1.9}$$

(A3) The following inequality:

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{m-1} D_\ell F_\ell(W) + F_m(W) \leq C_2 \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} w_\ell\right); \tag{1.10}$$

holds for all $w_\ell \geq 0$; $\ell = 1, ..., m$ and all constants $D_\ell \geq \overline{D_\ell}$; $\ell = 1, ..., m$ where $\overline{D_\ell}$; $\ell = 1, ..., m$ are positive constants sufficiently large. Note that C_1 andC_2 are positive and uniformly bounded functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^m_+$.

2. Preliminary Observations and Notations

The usual norms in spaces $L^p(\Omega)$, $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $C(\overline{\Omega})$ are denoted respectively by:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_p^p &= \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega |u(x)|^p \, dx; \\ \|u\|_\infty &= ess\sup_{x\in\Omega} |u(x)|, \\ \|u\|_{C(\overline{\Omega})} &= \max_{x\in\overline{\Omega}} |u(x)|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

It is well-known that to prove the global existence of solutions to a reaction-diffusion system (see Henry [7]), it suffices to derive a uniform estimate of the associated reaction term on $[0; T_{\max})$ in the space $L^p(\Omega)$ for some $p > n/2$. Our aim is to construct polynomial Lyapunov functionals allowing us to obtain L^p- bounds on the components, which leads to global existence. Since the reaction terms are continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}^m_+$, it follows that for any initial data in $C(\overline{\Omega})$, it is easy to check directly their Lipschitz continuity on bounded subsets of the domain of a fractional power of the operator

$$O = -\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1\Delta & 0 & ... & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2\Delta & ... & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & ... & \lambda_m\Delta \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Under these assumptions, the following local existence result is well known (see Friedman [4] and Pazy [22]).

Remark 1. *Assumption (A1) contains smoothness and quasipositivity conditions that guarantee local existence and nonnegativity of solutions as long as they exist, via the maximum principle (see Smoller* [24]*). Assumption (A3) is the usual*

polynomial growth condition necessary to obtain uniform bounds from p−dependent L^P estimates. (see Abdelmalek and Kouachi [2], and Hollis and Morgan [11]).

3. Some Properties of diffusion matrix

Lemma 1. *Considering the proposed reaction-diffusion system in (1.1), the resulting diffusion matrix can be given by:*

$$A=\begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ b & a & b & \ddots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & a & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & b & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & b & a & b \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & b & a \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

This matrix is said to be positive definite if the condition in (1.4) is satisfied.

Proof. The proof of this lemma can be found in [13]. Note that if the matrix is positive definite, it follows that $\det A > 0$. □

Lemma 2. *The eigenvalues $(\lambda_\ell < \lambda_{\ell-1};\ \ell = 2, ..., m)$ of A are positive and are given by*

$$\lambda_\ell = a + 2b\cos\left(\frac{\ell\pi}{m+1}\right), \tag{3.2}$$

with the corresponding eigenvectors being $v_\ell = \left(\sin\frac{\ell\pi}{m+1}, \sin\frac{2\ell\pi}{m+1}, ..., \sin\frac{m\ell\pi}{m+1}\right)^t$, for $\ell = 1, ..., m$. Hence, we conclude that A is diagonalizable.
In the remainder of this work we require an ascending order of the eigenvalues. In order to simplify the indices in the formulas to come we define

$$\bar{\lambda}_\ell = \lambda_{m+1-\ell} = a + 2b\cos\left(\frac{(m+1-\ell)\pi}{m+1}\right);\ \ell = 2, ..., m, \tag{3.3}$$

thus $\left(\bar{\lambda}_\ell < \bar{\lambda}_{\ell+1};\ \ell = 2, ..., m\right)$.

Proof. Recall that the diffusion matrix is positive definite, hence it the eigenvalues are necessarily positive. For an eigenpair (λ, X), the components in $(A - \lambda I)X = 0$ are

$$bx_{k-1} + (a-\lambda)x_k + bx_{k+1} = 0, k = 1, ..., m,$$

with $x_0 = x_{m+1} = 0$, or equivalently,

$$x_{k+2} + \left(\frac{a-\lambda}{b}\right)x_{k+1} + x_k = 0, k = 0, ..., m-1.$$

These are second-order homogeneous difference equations, and solving them is similar to solving analogous differential equations. The technique is to seek solutions of the form $x_\ell = \xi r^k$ for constants ξ and r. This produces the quadratic equation

$$r^2 + \left(\frac{a-\lambda}{b}\right)r + 1 = 0,$$

with roots r_1 and r_2. It can be argued that the general solution of $x_{k+2} + \left(\frac{a-\lambda}{b}\right)x_{k+1} + x_k = 0$ is

$$x_\ell = \begin{cases} \alpha r_1^k + \beta r^k, & \text{if } r_1 \neq r_2, \\ \alpha\rho^k + \beta k\rho^k, & \text{if } r_1 = r_2 = \rho, \end{cases}$$

where α and β are arbitrary constants.

For the eigenvalue problem at hand, r_1 and r_2 must be distinct -otherwise $x_k = \alpha\rho^k + \beta k\rho^k$, and $x_0 = x_{m+1} = 0$ implies that each $x_k = 0$, which is impossible because X is an eigenvector. Hence, $x_k = \alpha r_1^k + \beta r^k$, and $x_0 = x_{m+1} = 0$ yields

$$\begin{cases} 0 = \alpha + \beta \\ 0 = \alpha r_1^{m+1} + \beta r_2^{m+1} \end{cases} \Rightarrow \left(\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right)^{m+1} = \frac{-\beta}{\alpha} = 1 \Rightarrow \frac{r_1}{r_2} = e^{\frac{2i\pi\ell}{m+1}},$$

therefore, $r_1 = r_2 e^{\frac{2i\pi\ell}{m+1}}$ for some $1 \leq \ell \leq m$. This coupled with:

$$r^2 + \left(\frac{a-\lambda}{b}\right) r + 1 = (r - r_1)(r - r_2) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} r_1 r_2 = 1 \\ r_1 + r_2 = -\left(\frac{a-\lambda}{b}\right) \end{cases},$$

leads to $r_1 = e^{\frac{i\pi\ell}{m+1}}$, $r_2 = e^{-\frac{i\pi\ell}{m+1}}$, and

$$\lambda = a + b\left(e^{\frac{i\pi\ell}{m+1}} + e^{-\frac{i\pi\ell}{m+1}}\right) = a + 2b\cos\left(\frac{\ell\pi}{m+1}\right).$$

The eigenvalues of A can, therefore, be given by

$$\lambda_\ell = a + 2b\cos\left(\frac{\ell\pi}{m+1}\right);$$

for $\ell = 1, ..., m$.

Since these λ_ℓ's are all distinct ($\cos\theta$ is a strictly decreasing function of θ on $(0, \pi)$, and $b \neq 0$), A is necessarily diagonalizable.

Finally, the ℓ^{th} component of any eigenvector associated with λ_ℓ satisfies $x_k = \alpha r_1^k + \beta r_2^k$ with $\alpha + \beta = 0$, thus

$$x_k = \alpha\left(e^{\frac{2i\pi k}{m+1}} - e^{-\frac{2i\pi k}{m+1}}\right) = 2i\alpha\sin\left(\frac{k}{m+1}\pi\right).$$

Setting $\alpha = \frac{1}{2i}$ yields a particular eignvector associated with λ_ℓ given by

$$v_\ell = \left(\sin\left(\frac{1\ell\pi}{m+1}\right), \sin\left(\frac{2\ell\pi}{m+1}\right), ..., \sin\left(\frac{m\ell\pi}{m+1}\right)\right)^t.$$

Because the λ_ℓ's are distinct, $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_m\}$, is a compleat linearly independent set, so $(v_1 \mid v_2 \mid ... \mid v_m)$ **diagonalizes** A.

Now, let us prove that

$$\lambda_\ell < \lambda_{\ell-1};\ \ell = 2, ..., m.$$

We have

$$\ell > \ell - 1.$$

Dividing by $(m+1)$ and multiplying by π, we have

$$\frac{\ell\pi}{m+1} > \frac{(\ell-1)\pi}{m+1},$$

The cosine function $\cos\theta$ is strictly decreasing in θ on $(0, \pi)$, thus we have

$$\cos\left(\frac{\ell\pi}{m+1}\right) < \cos\left(\frac{(\ell-1)\pi}{m+1}\right).$$

Finally, multiplying both sides of the inequality by $2b$ and adding a yields

$$\lambda_\ell = a + 2b\cos\left(\frac{\ell\pi}{m+1}\right) < a + 2b\cos\left(\frac{(\ell-1)\pi}{m+1}\right) = \lambda_{\ell-1}.$$

□

4. Main Results

Proposition 1. *The eigenvectors of the diffusion matrix associated with the eigenvalues $\overline{\lambda}_\ell$ are defined as $\overline{v}_\ell = (\overline{v}_{\ell 1}, \overline{v}_{\ell 2}, ..., \overline{v}_{\ell m})^t$. Multiplying each of the m equations in (1.1) by the corresponding element of the ℓ^{th} eigenvector and adding the equations together yields:*

$$\frac{\partial w_\ell}{\partial t} - \bar{\lambda}_\ell \Delta w_\ell = F_\ell \left(w_1, w_2, ..., w_m \right), \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\alpha w_\ell + (1-\alpha)\, \partial_\eta w_\ell = \rho_\ell \qquad on\ \partial\Omega \times \{t > 0\}. \tag{4.2}$$

The reaction term F_ℓ, the components w_ℓ, and the ascending order eigenvalues $\bar{\lambda}_\ell$ have been defined perviously in this paper.

Note that condition (1.4) guarantees the parabolicity of the proposed reaction-diffusion system in (1.1)-(1.3), which implies that the system described by (4.1)-(4.2) is equivalent to it in the region:

$$\Sigma_{L,\varnothing} = \left\{ \left(u_1^0, ..., u_m^0\right) \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } \left\{ w_\ell^0 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} u_k^0 \sin \frac{(m+1-\ell)\, k\pi}{m+1} \geq 0, \ell \in L \right. \right.,$$

with

$$\left\{ \rho_\ell^0 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \beta_k \sin \frac{(m+1-\ell)\, k\pi}{m+1} \geq 0, \ell \in L \right..$$

This implies that the components w_ℓ are necessarily positive.

Proposition 2. *The system (4.1)-(4.2) admits a unique classical solution $(w_1; w_2; .., w_m)$ on $(0, T_{\max}) \times \Omega$.*

$$\text{If } T_{\max} < \infty \text{ then } \lim_{t \nearrow T_{\max}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \left\| w_\ell \left(t, .\right) \right\|_\infty = \infty, \tag{4.3}$$

where $T_{\max}$ $\left(\left\| w_1^0 \right\|_\infty, \left\| w_2^0 \right\|_\infty, ..., \left\| w_m^0 \right\|_\infty \right)$ denotes the eventual blow-up time.

The main result of the paper reads as follows.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that the functions F_ℓ; $\ell = 1, ..., m$ are of polynomial growth and satisfy condition (1.10) for some positive constants D_ℓ; $\ell = 1, ..., m$ sufficiently large. Let $\left(w_1\left(t, .\right), w_2\left(t, .\right), ..., w_m\left(t, .\right)\right)$ be a solution of (4.1)-(4.2) and*

$$L(t) = \int_\Omega H_{p_m} \left(w_1\left(t, x\right), w_2\left(t, x\right), ..., w_m\left(t, x\right) \right) dx, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$H_{p_m}\left(w_1, ..., w_m\right) = \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_m}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} \theta_1^{p_1^2} ... \theta_{(m-1)}^{p_{(m-1)}^2} w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2 - p_1} ... w_m^{p_m - p_{m-1}},$$

with p_m a positive integer and $C_{p_\kappa}^{p_\ell} = \frac{p_\kappa!}{p_\ell!(p_\kappa - p_\ell)!}$.
Also suppose that the following condition is satisfied

$$K_l^l > 0;\ l = 2, ..., m, \tag{4.5}$$

where

$$K_l^r = K_{r-1}^{r-1} \times K_l^{r-1} - \left[H_l^{r-1}\right]^2; r = 3, ..., l,$$

$$H_l^r = \det_{\substack{1\leq \ell,\kappa\leq l \\ \kappa\neq l-1,..r}}\left((a_{\ell,\kappa})_{\ell\neq l,...r+1}\right) \times \prod_{k=1}^{k=r-2}\left(\det[k]\right)^{2^{(r-k-2)}} \quad r=3,...,l-1,$$

$$K_l^2 = \underbrace{\bar{\lambda}_1\bar{\lambda}_l\prod_{k=1}^{l-1}\theta_k^{2(p_k+1)^2} \times \prod_{k=l}^{m-1}\theta_k^{2(p_k+2)^2}}_{positive\ value} \times \left[\prod_{k=1}^{l-1}\theta_k^2 - A_{1l}^2\right],$$

and

$$H_l^2 = \underbrace{\bar{\lambda}_1\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}_2\bar{\lambda}_l}\theta_1^{2(p_1+1)^2}\prod_{k=2}^{l-1}\theta_k^{(p_k+2)^2+(p_k+1)^2} \times \prod_{k=l}^{m-1}\theta_k^{2(p_k+2)^2}}_{positive\ value} \times \left[\theta_1^2A_{2l} - A_{12}A_{1l}\right].$$

$\det_{\substack{1\leq \ell,\kappa\leq l \\ \kappa\neq l-1,..r}}\left((a_{\ell,\kappa})_{\ell\neq l,...r+1}\right)$ *is denoted determinant of* r *square symmetric matrix obtained from* $(a_{\ell,\kappa})_{1\leq \ell,\kappa\leq m}$ *by removing the* $(r+1)^{th},(r+2)^{th},...,l^{th}$ *rows and the* $r^{th},(r+1)^{th},...,(l-1)^{th}$ *columns. where* $\det[1],...,\det[m]$ *are the minors of the matrix* $(a_{\ell,\kappa})_{1\leq \ell,\kappa\leq m}$. *The elements of the matrix are:*

$$a_{\ell\kappa} = \frac{\bar{\lambda}_\ell+\bar{\lambda}_\kappa}{2}\theta_1^{p_1^2}...\theta_{(\ell-1)}^{p_{(\ell-1)}^2}\theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)^2}...\theta_{\kappa-1}^{\left(p_{(\kappa-1)}+1\right)^2}\theta_\kappa^{(p_\kappa+2)^2}...\theta_{(m-1)}^{\left(p_{(m-1)}+2\right)^2}. \tag{4.6}$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_\ell$ *in (3.2)- (3.3). Note that* $A_{\ell\kappa} = \dfrac{\bar{\lambda}_\ell+\bar{\lambda}_\kappa}{2\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}_\ell\bar{\lambda}_\kappa}}$ *for all* $\ell,\kappa=1,...,m$. *and* $\theta_\ell;\ell=1,...,(m-1)$ *are positive constants.*
It follows from these conditions that the functional L *is uniformly bounded on the interval* $[0,T^*],T^*<T_{\max}$.

Corollary 1. *Under the assumptions of theorem 1, all solutions of (4.1)-(4.2) with positive initial data in* $L^\infty(\Omega)$ *are in* $L^\infty(0,T^*;L^p(\Omega))$ *for some* $p\geq 1$.

Proposition 3. *Under the assumptions of theorem 1 and given that the condition (1.4) is satisfied, all solutions of (4.1)-(4.2) with positive initial data in* $L^\infty(\Omega)$ *are global for some* $p>\dfrac{Nn}{2}$.

5. **Proofs**

For the proof of theorem 1, we first need to define some preparatory Lemmas.

Lemma 3. *With* H_{p_m} *being the homogeneous polynomial defined by (4.4), differentiating in* w_1 *yields*

$$\partial_{w_1}H_{p_m} = p_m\sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-1}..\sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2}C_{p_m-1}^{p_{m-1}}...C_{p_2}^{p_1}\theta_1^{(p_1+1)^2}...\theta_{(m-1)}^{\left(p_{(m-1)}+1\right)^2}\times$$
$$w_1^{p_1}w_2^{p_2-p_1}w_3^{p_3-p_2}...w_m^{(p_m-1)-p_{m-1}}. \tag{5.1}$$

Similarly for $\ell=2,...,m-1$, *we have*

$$\partial_{w_\ell}H_{p_m} = p_m\sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-1}...\sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2}C_{p_m-1}^{p_{m-1}}...C_{p_2}^{p_1}\theta_1^{p_1^2}...\theta_{\ell-1}^{p_{(\ell-1)}^2}\theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)2}...\theta_{(m-1)}^{\left(p_{(m-1)}+1\right)^2}\times$$
$$w_1^{p_1}w_2^{p_2-p_1}w_3^{p_3-p_2}...w_m^{(p_m-1)-p_{m-1}}. \tag{5.2}$$

Finally, differentiating in w_m yields

$$\partial_{w_m} H_{p_m} = p_m \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-1} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_m-1}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_3}^{p_2} C_{p_2}^{p_1} \theta_1^{p_1^2} \theta_2^{p_2^2} ... \theta_{(m-1)}^{p_{(m-1)}^2} \times$$
$$w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} w_3^{p_3-p_2} ... w_m^{(p_m-1)-p_{m-1}}. \tag{5.3}$$

Lemma 4. *The second partial derivative of H_{p_m} in w_1 is given by*

$$\partial_{w_1^2} H_n = p_m (p_m-1) \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-2} ... \sum_{p_2=0}^{p_3} \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_m-2}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} \times$$
$$\theta_1^{(p_1+2)^2} ... \theta_{(m-1)}^{\left(p_{(m-1)}+2\right)^2} w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{(p_m-2)-p_{m-1}}. \tag{5.4}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\partial_{w_\ell^2} H_n = p_m (p_m-1) \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-2} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_m-2}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} \times$$
$$\theta_1^{p_1^2} \theta_2^{p_2^2} ... \theta_{\ell-1}^{p_{\ell-1}^2} \theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+2)^2} ... \theta_{(m-1)}^{\left(p_{(m-1)}+2\right)^2} \times$$
$$w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{(p_m-2)-p_{m-1}}. \tag{5.5}$$

for all $\ell = 2, ..., m-1$,

$$\partial_{w_\ell w_\kappa} H_n = p_m (p_m-1) \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-2} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_m-2}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} \times$$
$$\theta_1^{p_1^2} ... \theta_{\ell-1}^{p_{\ell-1}^2} \theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)^2} ... \theta_{\kappa-1}^{(p_{\kappa-1}+1)^2} \theta_\kappa^{(p_\kappa+2)^2} ... \theta_{(m-1)}^{\left(p_{(m-1)}+2\right)^2} \times$$
$$w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{(p_m-2)-p_{m-1}} \tag{5.6}$$

for all $1 \leq \ell < \kappa \leq m$. Finally, the second derivative in w_m is given by

$$\partial_{w_m^2} H_n = p_m (p_m-1) \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-2} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_m-2}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} \theta_1^{p_1^2} ... \theta_{(m-1)}^{p_{(m-1)}^2} \times$$
$$w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{(p_m-2)-p_{m-1}}. \tag{5.7}$$

Lemma 5 (see Abdelmalek and Kouachi [2])**.** *Let A be the m-square symetric matrix defined by $A = (a_{\ell\kappa})_{1 \leq \ell,\kappa \leq m}$ then we the following property arises:*

$$\begin{cases} K_m^m = \det[m] \times \prod_{k=1}^{k=m-2} (\det[k])^{2^{(m-k-2)}}, \quad m > 2 \\ K_2^2 = \det[2] \end{cases} \tag{5.8}$$

where

$$K_m^l = K_{l-1}^{l-1} \times K_m^{l-1} - \left(H_m^{l-1}\right)^2; l = 3, ..., m,$$
$$H_m^l = \det_{1 \leq \ell,\kappa \leq m} \left((a_{\ell,\kappa})_{\substack{\ell \neq m,...l+1 \\ \kappa \neq m-1,..l}} \right) \times \prod_{k=1}^{k=l-2} (\det[k])^{2^{(l-k-2)}} \; l = 3, ..., m-1,$$
$$K_m^2 = a_{11} a_{mm} - (a_{1m})^2,$$
$$H_m^2 = a_{11} a_{2m} - a_{12} a_{1m}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. The aim of this work is to prove that $L(t)$ is uniformly bounded on the interval $[0,T^*], T^* < T_{\max}$. Let us start by differentiating L with respect to t:

$$\begin{aligned} L'(t) &= \int_\Omega \partial_t H_{p_m} dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \sum_{\ell=1}^m \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} \frac{\partial w_\ell}{\partial t} dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \sum_{\ell=1}^m \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} \left(\lambda_\ell \Delta w_\ell + F_\ell\right) dx \\ &= \int_\Omega \sum_{\ell=1}^m \bar{\lambda}_\ell \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} \Delta w_\ell dx + \int_\Omega \sum_{\ell=1}^m \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} F_\ell dx \\ &= I + J, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$I = \int_\Omega \sum_{\ell=1}^m \bar{\lambda}_\ell \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} \Delta w_\ell dx, \tag{5.9}$$

and

$$J = \int_\Omega \sum_{\ell=1}^m \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} F_\ell dx. \tag{5.10}$$

Using Green's formula, we can divide I into two parts I_1 and I_2 where

$$I_1 = \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \bar{\lambda}_\ell \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} \partial_\eta w_\ell dx, \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$I_2 = -\int_\Omega \left[\left(\left(\frac{\overline{\lambda}_\ell + \overline{\lambda}_\kappa}{2} \partial_{w_\kappa w_\ell} H_{p_m} \right)_{1\le \ell,\kappa \le m} \right) T \right] \cdot T dx \tag{5.12}$$

for $p_1 = 0, ..., p_2, p_2 = 0, ..., p_3 \, ... p_{m-1} = 0, ..., p_m - 2$ and $T = (\nabla w_1, \nabla w_2, ..., \nabla w_m)^t$. Applying lemmas 3 and 4 yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\tfrac{\bar{\lambda}_\ell + \bar{\lambda}_\kappa}{2} \partial_{w_\kappa w_\ell} H_{p_m} \right)_{1\le \ell,\kappa \le m} = \\ &p_m (p_m - 1) \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m - 2} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_m-2}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} \left((a_{\ell\kappa})_{1\le \ell,\kappa \le m} \right) w_1^{p_1} ... w_m^{(p_m-2)-p_{m-1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

where $(a_{\ell\kappa})_{1\le \ell,\kappa \le m}$ is the matrix defined in formula (4.6).

Now the proof of positivity for I simplifies to proving that there exists a positive constant C_4 independent of $t \in [0, T_{\max})$ such that

$$I_1 \le C_4 \text{ for all } t \in [0, T_{\max}), \tag{5.14}$$

and that

$$I_2 \le 0, \tag{5.15}$$

for several boundary conditions. First, let us prove the formula in (5.14):

(i) If $\ell = 1, ...m : 0 < \alpha_\ell < 1$, then using the boundary conditions (1.2) we get

$$I_1 = \int_{\partial\Omega} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \bar{\lambda}_\ell \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} \left(\gamma_\ell - \sigma_\ell w_\ell\right) dx,$$

where $\sigma_\ell = \dfrac{\alpha_\ell}{1-\alpha_\ell}$ and $\gamma_\ell = \dfrac{\beta_\ell}{1-\alpha_\ell}$, for $\ell = 1, ...m$. Since $H(W) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \lambda_\ell \partial_{w_\ell} H_{p_m} (\gamma_\ell - \sigma_\ell w_\ell) = P_{n-1}(W) - Q_n(W)$, where P_{n-1} and Q_n are polynomials with positive coefficients and respective degrees $n-1$ and n, and since the solution is positive, it follows that

$$\limsup_{\sum_{\ell=1}^{m} |w_\ell| \to +\infty} H(W) = -\infty, \tag{5.16}$$

which proves that H is uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}_+^m$ and consequently (5.14).

(ii) If for all $\ell = 1, ...m : \alpha = 0$, then $I_1 = 0$ on $[0, T_{\max})$.

(iii) The case of homogeneous Dirichlet conditions is trivial, since in this case the positivity of the solution on $[0, T_{\max}) \times \Omega$ implies $\partial_\eta w_\ell \leq 0, \forall \ell = 1, ...m$ on $[0, T_{\max}) \times \partial\Omega$. Consequently, one gets obtains the same result in (5.14) with $C_4 = 0$.

Hence, the proof of (5.14) is complete. Now, we move to the proof of (5.15):

Recall the matrix $(a_{\ell\kappa})_{1 \leq \ell, \kappa \leq m}$ which was defined in formula (4.6). The quadratic forms (with respect to $\nabla w_\ell, \ell = 1, ..., m$) associated with the matrix $(a_{\ell\kappa})_{1 \leq \ell, \kappa \leq m}$, with $p_1 = 0, ..., p_2$, $p_2 = 0, .., p_3$ ... $p_{m-1} = 0, ..., p_m - 2$, is positive definite since its minors $\det[1]$, $\det[2]$,... $\det[m]$ are all positive. Let us examine these minors and prove their positivity by induction:

The first minor

$$\det[1] = \lambda_1 \theta_1^{(p_1+2)^2} \theta_2^{(p_2+2)^2} .. \theta_{(m-1)}^{\left(p_{(m-1)}+2\right)^2} > 0$$

is trivial for $p_1 = 0, ..., p_2$, $p_2 = 0, ..., p_3$ ... $p_{m-1} = 0, ..., p_m - 2$.

For the second minor $\det[2]$, according to lemma 5, we get:

$$\det[2] = K_2^2 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \theta_1^{2(p_1+1)^2} \prod_{k=2}^{m-1} \theta_k^{2(p_k+2)^2} \left[\theta_1^2 - A_{12}^2\right].$$

Using (4.5) for $l = 2$ we get $\det[2] > 0$.

Similarly, for the third minor $\det[3]$, and again using lemma 5, we have:

$$K_3^3 = \det[3] \det[1].$$

Since $\det 1 > 0$, we conclude that

$$\operatorname{sign}(K_3^3) = \operatorname{sign}(\det[3]).$$

Again, using (4.5) for $l = 3$ yields $\det[3] > 0$.

To conclude the proof, let us suppose $\det[k] > 0$ for $k = 1, 2, ..., l-1$ and show that $\det[l]$ is necessarily positive. We have

$$\det[k] > 0, k = 1, ..., (l-1) \Rightarrow \prod_{k=1}^{k=l-2} (\det[k])^{2^{(l-k-2)}} > 0. \tag{5.17}$$

From lemma 5, we obtain $K_l^l = \det[l] \times \prod_{k=1}^{k=l-2} (\det[k])^{2^{(l-k-2)}}$, and from (5.17), we get: $\operatorname{sign}(K_l^l) = \operatorname{sign}(\det[l])$. Since $K_l^l > 0$ according to (4.5) then $\det[l] > 0$ and the proof of (5.15) is concluded. It follows from (5.14) and (5.15) that I is bounded. Now, let us divert our attention to proving that J in (5.10) is bounded. Substituting the expressions of the partial derivatives given by 3 in the second integral of (5.10)

yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
J &= \int_\Omega \left[p_m \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-1} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_{m-1}}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{p_m-1-p_{m-1}} \right] \times \\
&\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)^2} F_1 + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{m-1} \prod_{k=1}^{\kappa-1} \theta_k^{p_k^2} \prod_{\ell=\kappa}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)^2} F_\kappa + \prod_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{p_\ell^2} F_m \right) dx \\
&= \int_\Omega \left[p_m \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-1} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_{m-1}}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{p_m-1-p_{m-1}} \right] \times \\
&\left(\frac{\prod_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)^2}}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{p_\ell^2}} F_1 + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{m-1} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{\kappa-1} \theta_k^{p_k^2} \prod_{\ell=\kappa}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)^2}}{\prod_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{p_\ell^2}} F_\kappa + F_m \right) \prod_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{p_\ell^2} dx \\
&= \int_\Omega \left[p_m \sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-1} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_{m-1}}^{p_{m-1}} ... C_{p_2}^{p_1} w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{p_m-1-p_{m-1}} \right] \times \\
&\left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \frac{\theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)^2}}{\theta_\ell^{p_\ell^2}} F_1 + \sum_{\kappa=2}^{m-1} \prod_{\ell=\kappa}^{m-1} \frac{\theta_\ell^{(p_\ell+1)^2}}{\theta_\ell^{p_\ell^2}} F_\kappa + F_m \right) \prod_{\ell=1}^{m-1} \theta_\ell^{p_\ell^2} dx.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, using condition (1.10), we deduce that

$$
J \leq C_5 \int_\Omega \left[\sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-1} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_2}^{p_1} ... C_{p_{m-1}}^{p_{m-1}} w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{p_m-1-p_{m-1}} \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} w_\ell \right) \right] dx.
$$

To prove that the functional L is uniformly bounded on the interval $[0, T^*]$, let us first write

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{p_{m-1}=0}^{p_m-1} ... \sum_{p_1=0}^{p_2} C_{p_2}^{p_1} ... C_{p_{m-1}}^{p_{m-1}} w_1^{p_1} w_2^{p_2-p_1} ... w_m^{p_m-1-p_{m-1}} \left(1 + \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} w_\ell \right) \\
&= R_{p_m}(W) + S_{p_m-1}(W),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{p_m}(W)$ and $S_{p_m-1}(W)$are two homogeneous polynomials of degrees p_m and $p_m - 1$, respectively. Since all of the polynomials H_{p_m} and R_{p_m} are of degree p_m, there exists a positive constant C_6 such that

$$
\int_\Omega R_{p_m}(W)\, dx \leq C_6 \int_\Omega H_{p_m}(W)\, dx. \tag{5.18}
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality to the integral $\int_\Omega S_{p_m-1}(W)\, dx$, one obtains

$$
\int_\Omega S_{p_m-1}(W)\, dx \leq (meas\Omega)^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \left(\int_\Omega \left(S_{p_m-1}(W) \right)^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p_m-1}{p_m}}.
$$

Since for all $w_1, w_{2,...}, w_{m-1} \geq 0$ and $w_m > 0$,

$$
\frac{\left(S_{p_m-1}(W) \right)^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}}}{H_{p_m}(W)} = \frac{\left(S_{p_m-1}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{m-1}, 1) \right)^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}}}{H_{p_m}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{m-1}, 1)},
$$

where $\forall \ell \in \{1,2,...,m-1\} : x_\ell = \frac{u_\ell}{u_{\ell+1}}$ and

$$\lim_{x_\ell \to +\infty} \frac{\left(S_{p_m-1}\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_{m-1},1\right)\right)^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}}}{H_{p_m}\left(x_1,x_2,...,x_{m-1},1\right)} < +\infty,$$

one asserts that there exists a positive constant C_7 such that

$$\frac{\left(S_{p_m-1}\left(W\right)\right)^{\frac{p_m}{p_m-1}}}{H_{p_m}\left(W\right)} \leq C_7, \text{ for all } w_1,w_2,...,w_m \geq 0. \tag{5.19}$$

Hence, the functional L satisfies the differential inequality

$$L'\left(t\right) \leq C_6 L\left(t\right) + C_8 L^{\frac{p_m-1}{p_m}}\left(t\right),$$

which for $Z = L^{\frac{1}{p_m}}$ can be written as

$$p_m Z' \leq C_6 Z + C_8. \tag{5.20}$$

A simple integration gives the uniform bound of the functional L on the interval $[0,T^*]$. This ends the proof of the theorem. □

Proof of Corollary 1. The proof of this corollary is an immediate consequence of 1 and the inequality

$$\int_\Omega \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m w_\ell\left(t,x\right)\right)^p dx \leq C_9 L\left(t\right) \text{ on } \left[0,T^*\right]. \tag{5.21}$$

for some $p \geq 1$. □

Proof of Proposition 3. From corollary 1, there exists a positive constant C_{10} such that

$$\int_\Omega \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m w_\ell\left(t,x\right)+1\right)^p dx \leq C_{10} \text{ on } \left[0,T_{\max}\right). \tag{5.22}$$

From (1.9), we have

$$\forall \ell \in \{1,2,...,m\} :$$
$$\left|F_\ell\left(W\right)\right|^{\frac{p}{N}} \leq C_{11}\left(W\right)\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m W_\ell\left(t,x\right)\right)^p \text{ on } \left[0,T_{\max}\right)\times\Omega. \tag{5.23}$$

Since $w_1,w_2,...,w_m$ are in $L^\infty\left(0,T^*;L^p\left(\Omega\right)\right)$ and $\dfrac{p}{N} > \dfrac{n}{2}$, then as discussed in the preliminary observations section the solution is global. □

6. Final Remarks

Recall that the eigenvectors of the diffusion matrix associated with the eigenvalue $\overline{\lambda}_\ell$ is defined as $\overline{v}_\ell = \left(\overline{v}_{\ell 1},\overline{v}_{\ell 2},...,\overline{v}_{\ell m}\right)^t$. It is important to note that if $\overline{v}_\ell$ is an eigenvector then so is $(-1)\overline{v}_\ell$. In the region considered in previous sections, we only used the positive $\overline{v}_\ell$. The remainder of the 2^m regions can be formed using negative versions of the eigenvectors. In each region, the reaction-diffusion system with a diagonalized diffusion matrix is formed by multiplying each of the m equations in (1.1) by the corresponding element of either $\overline{v}_\ell$ or $(-1)\overline{v}_\ell$ and then adding the m equations together. The equations multiplied by elements of $\overline{v}_\ell$ form a set L,

whereas the equations multiplied by elements of $(-1)\overline{v}_\ell$ form a set Z. Hence, we can write define the region in the form:

$$\Sigma_{L,Z}=\left\{\left(u_1^0,u_2^0,...,u_m^0\right)\in\mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } \left\{\begin{array}{c} w_\ell^0=\sum_{k=1}^m u_k^0\overline{v}_{\ell k}\geq 0,\ell\in L \\ w_z^0=(-1)\sum_{k=1}^m u_k^0\overline{v}_{zk}\geq 0,z\in Z\end{array}\right.\right\},,$$

with

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\rho_\ell^0=\sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k v_{(m+1-\ell)k}\geq 0,\ell\in L \\ \rho_\ell^0=(-1)\sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k v_{(m+1-z)k}\geq 0,z\in Z\end{array}\right..$$

Using lemma 2 yields

$$\Sigma_{L,Z}=\left\{\left(u_1^0,u_2^0,...,u_m^0\right)\in\mathbb{R}^m \text{ such that } \left\{\begin{array}{c} w_\ell^0=\sum_{k=1}^m u_k^0\sin\frac{(m+1-\ell)k\pi}{m+1}\geq 0,\ell\in L \\ w_z^0=(-1)\sum_{k=1}^m u_k^0\sin\frac{(m+1-z)k\pi}{m+1}\geq 0,z\in Z\end{array}\right.\right\},$$

with

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\rho_\ell^0=\sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k\sin\frac{(m+1-\ell)k\pi}{m+1}\geq 0,\ell\in L \\ \rho_z^0=(-1)\sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k\sin\frac{(m+1-z)k\pi}{m+1}\geq 0,z\in Z\end{array}\right.,$$

and

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c} L\cap Z=\varnothing \\ L\cup Z=\{1,2,...,m\}\end{array}\right..$$

References

[1] S. Abdelmalek, Invariant Regions and Global Existence of Solutions for Reaction-Diffusion Systems with a Tridiagonal Matrix of Diffusion Coefficients and Nonhomogeneous Boundary Conditions, Journal of Applied Mathematics, Vol)2007), 1-15 .

[2] S. Abdelmalek and S. Kouachi, A simple proof of Sylvester's (determinants) identity, Applied Mathematical Sciences, (2008) vol. 2, 1571–1580, .

[3] S. Abdelmalek and S. Kouachi, Proof of existence of global solutions for m-component reaction-diffusion systems with mixed boundary conditions via the Lyapunov functional method, Journal of Physics A, (2007) vol. 40, 12335-12350.

[4] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*. Prentice Hall Englewood Chiffs. N. J. 1964.

[5] W. B. Fitzgibbon, S. Hollis and J. Morgan, *Stability and Lyapunov functions for reaction-diffusion systems.*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. (1997) Vol. 28, 595-610 .

[6] A. Haraux and A. Youkana, *On a Result of K. Masuda Concerning Reaction-Diffusion Equations*. Tôhoku. Math. J. 40 (1988), 159-163.

[7] D. Henry, Geometric *Theory of Semi-linear Parabolic Equations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 840, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1984.

[8] S. L. Hollis, *On the Question of Global Existence for Reaction-Diffusion Systems with Mixed Boundary Conditions*. Quarterly of Applied Mathematics LI, number 2, June 1993, pp. 241-250.

[9] S. L. Hollis and J. J. Morgany, *On the Blow-up of Solutions to Some Semilinear and Quasilinear Reaction-diffusion Systems*, Rocky Moutain J. Math. vol 14. (1994), , 1447-1465.

[10] S. L. Hollis, R. H. Martin and M. Pierre, *Global Existence and Boundedness in Reaction Diffusion Systems*. SIAM. J. Math. Anal, Vol. 18, 1987.

[11] S. L. Hollis and J. J. Morgany, *Partly dissipative reaction-di usion systems and a model of phosphorus diffusion in silicon*, Nonlinear Anal. T. M. A., 19 (1992), 427-440.

[12] S.L. Hollis and J. Morgan, *Interior estimates for a class of reaction-diffusion systems from L^1 a priori estimates*, JDE, (1992), vol 92, 260-276.

[13] C. R. Johnson, M. Neumann & M. J. Tsatsomeros, Conditions for the positivity of determinants, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, (1996), Vol 40, 241-248

[14] M. Kirane and S. Kouachi, *Global solutions to a system of strongly coupled reaction-diffusion equations*. Nonlinear Analysis Theory, Methods and Applications. Vol 26, number 8 (1996).

[15] S. Kouachi, *Existence of global solutions to reaction-diffusion systems via a Lyapunov functional*. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns Vol. 2001(2001), 1-10.

[16] S. Kouachi, *Existence of global solutions to reaction-diffusion systems with nonhomogeneous boundary conditions via a Lyapunov functional*. Electron. J. Diff. Eqns Vol. 2002(2002), No. 88, pp. 1-13.
[17] S. Kouachi and A. Youkana, *Global existence for a class of reaction-diffusion systems.* Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences, Vol. 49, Number 3, (2001).
[18] S. Malham and J. Xin, *Global solutions to a reactive Boussinesq system with front data on an in nite domain*. Comm. Math. Phys. 193 (1998), no.2, 287-316.
[19] R. H. Martin and M. Pierre, *Nonlinear reaction-diffusion systemsn*, Nonlinear equations in the applied sciences, Math. Sci. Engrg., 185, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 363-398, 1992.
[20] J. Morgan, *Global Existence for Semilinear Parabolic Systems*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 20, 1128-1144 (1989).
[21] J. J. Morgan and S. L. Hollis, *The Existence of Periodic Solutions to Reaction-Diffusion Systems with Periodic Data*, SIAM J. Math. Anal . (1995) Vol. 26, 1225-1232.
[22] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Applied Math. Sciences 44, Springer-Verlag, New York (1983).
[23] F. Rothe, *Global Solutions of Reaction-Diffusion Systems*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1072, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1984).
[24] J. Smoller, *Shock Waves and Reaction-Diffusion Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1983).

S. ABDELMALEK: Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, Yanbu, Taibah University, Saudi Arabia.
E-mail address: salllm@gmail.com